arXiv:1508.05511v2 [math.AC] 21 Sep 2015

A METHOD TO COMPUTE THE GENERAL NERON DESINGULARIZATION IN THE FRAME OF ONE DIMENSIONAL LOCAL DOMAINS

ADRIAN POPESCU, DORIN POPESCU

Abstract. An algorithmic proof of General Neron Desingularization is given here for one dimensional local domains and it is implemented in Singular. Also a theorem recalling Greenberg' strong approximation theorem is presented for one dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local rings.

Key words : Smooth morphisms, regular morphisms, smoothing ring morphisms.
2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 13B40, Secondary 14B25,13H05,13J15.

Introduction

A ring morphism $u : A \to A'$ has *regular fibers* if for all prime ideals $P \in \operatorname{Spec} A$ the ring A'/PA' is a regular ring, i.e. its localizations are regular local rings. It has *geometrically regular fibers* if for all prime ideals $P \in \operatorname{Spec} A$ and all finite field extensions K of the fraction field of A/P the ring $K \otimes_{A/P} A'/PA'$ is regular. If for all $P \in \operatorname{Spec} A$ the fraction field of A/P has characteristic 0 then the regular fibers of u are geometrically regular fibers. A flat morphism u is *regular* if its fibers are geometrically regular.

In Artin approximation theory [2] an important result is the following theorem generalizing the Neron Desingularization [7], [2].

Theorem 1 (General Neron Desingularization, Popescu [8], [9], [10], Andre [1], Swan [13], Spivakovski [12]). *Let $u : A \to A'$ be a regular morphism of Noetherian rings and B a finite type A-algebra. Then any A-morphism $v : B \to A'$ factors through a smooth A-algebra C, that is v is a composite A-morphism $B \to C \to A'$.*

The purpose of this paper is to give an algorithmic proof of the above theorem when A, A' are one dimensional local domains and $A \supset \mathbb{Q}$. This proof is somehow presented by the second author in a lecture given in the frame of a semester of Artin Approximation and Singularity Theory organized in 2015 by CIRM in Luminy (see http://hlombardi.free.fr/Popescu-Luminy2015.pdf). The algorithm was implemented by the authors in the Computer Algebra system Singular [3] and will be as soon as possible found in a development version as the library `GND.lib` at `https://github.com/Singular/Source`.

The support from the Department of Mathematics of the University of Kaiserslautern of the first author and the support from the project ID-PCE-2011-1023, granted by the Romanian National Authority for Scientific Research, CNCS - UEFISCDI of the second author are gratefully acknowledged. Both authors thank CIRM, Luminy who provided excellent conditions and stimulative atmosphere in the main stage of our work.

We may take the same General Neron Desingularization for $v, v' : B \to A'$ if they are closed enough as Examples 4, 10 show. The last section computes the General Neron Desingularization in several examples. We should point that the General Neron Desingularization is not unique and it is better to speak above about **a** General Neron Desingularization.

When A' is the completion of a Cohen-Macaulay local ring A of dimension one we show that we may have a linear Artin function as it happens in the Greenberg's case (see [5]). More precisely, the Artin function is given by $c \to 2e + c$, where e depends from the polynomial system of equations defining B (see Theorem 20).

1. The theorem

Let $u : A \to A'$ be a flat morphism of Noetherian local domains of dimension 1. Suppose that $A \supset \mathbb{Q}$ and the maximal ideal m of A generates the maximal ideal of A'. Then u is regular morphism. Moreover, we suppose that there exist canonical inclusions $k = A/m \to A$, $k' = A'/mA' \to A'$ such that $u(k) \subset k'$.

Let $B = A[Y]/I$, $Y = (Y_1, \ldots, Y_n)$. If $f = (f_1, \ldots, f_r)$, $r \leq n$ is a system of polynomials from I then we can define the ideal Δ_f generated by all $r \times r$-minors of the Jacobian matrix $\left(\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial Y_j}\right)$. After Elkik [4] let $H_{B/A}$ be the radical of the ideal $\sum_f \big((f) : I\big)\Delta_f B$, where the sum is taken over all systems of polynomials f from I with $r \leq n$. Then B_P, $P \in \operatorname{Spec} B$ is essentially smooth over A if and only if $P \not\supset H_{B/A}$ by the Jacobian criterion for smoothness. Thus $H_{B/A}$ measures the non smooth locus of B over A.

Definition 2. B is **standard smooth** over A if there exists f in I as above such that $1 \in \big((f) : I\big)\Delta_f B$.

The aim of this paper is to give an easy algorithmic proof of the following theorem.

Theorem 3. *Any A-morphism $v : B \to A'$ factors through a standard smooth A-algebra B'.*

If A is essentially of finite type over $\mathbb{Q}$, then the ideal $H_{B/A}$ can be computed in Singular by following its definition but it is easier to describe only the ideal $\sum_f \big((f) : I\big)\Delta_f B$ defined above. This is the case considered in our algorithmic part, let us say $A \cong k[x]/F$ for some variables $x = (x_1, \ldots x_t)$, and the completion of A' is $K[\![x]\!]/(F)$ for some field extension $k \subset K$. When v is defined by polynomials y from $K[x]$ then our problem is easy. Let L be the field obtained by adjoining to k all coefficients of y. Then $R = L[x]/(F)$ is a subring of A' containing $\operatorname{Im} v$ which is essentially smooth over A. Then we may take B' as a standard smooth A-algebra such that R is a localization of B'. Consequently we suppose usually that y is not polynomial defined and moreover L is not a finite type field extension of k.

2. Reduction to the case when $H_{B/A} \cap A \neq 0$.

We may suppose that $v(H_{B/A}) \neq 0$. Indeed, if $v(H_{B/A}) = 0$ then v induces an A-morphism $v' : B' = B/H_{B/A} \to A'$ and we may change (B, v) by (B', v'). Applying this trick several times we reduce to the case $v(H_{B/A}) \neq 0$. However the fraction field of $\operatorname{Im} v$ is essentially smooth over A by separability, that is $H_{\operatorname{Im} v/A}A' \neq 0$ and in the worst case our trick will change B by $\operatorname{Im} v$ after several steps.

Choose $P' \in \big(\Delta_f((f):I)\big) \setminus I$ for some system of polynomials $f = (f_1, \ldots, f_r)$ from I and $d' \in \big(v(P')A'\big) \cap A$, $d' \neq 0$. Moreover we may choose P' to be from $M\big((f):I\big)$ where M is a $r \times r$-minor of $\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial Y}\right)$. Then $d' = v(P')z \in \big(v(H_{B/A})\big) \cap A$ for some $z \in A'$. Set $B_1 = B[Z]/(f_{r+1})$, where $f_{r+1} = -d' + P'Z$ and let $v_1 : B_1 \to A'$ be the map of B-algebras given by $Z \to z$. It follows that $d' \in \big((f, f_{r+1}) : (I, f_{r+1})\big)$ and $d' \in \Delta_f$, $d' \in \Delta_{f_{r+1}}$. Then $d = d'^2 \equiv P$ modulo (I, f_{r+1}) for $P = P'^2Z^2 \in H_{B_1/A}$. For the reduction change B by B_1 and the Jacobian matrix $J = (\partial f/\partial Y)$ will be now the new J given by $\begin{pmatrix} J & 0 \\ * & P' \end{pmatrix}$. Note that $d \in H_{B/A} \cap A$.

Example 4. Let $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ be two elements algebraically independent over $\mathbb{Q}$ and ρ a root of the polynomial $T^2 + T + 1$ in $\mathbb{C}$. Then $k' = \dfrac{\mathbb{Q}(a_1, a_2)[a_3]}{(a_3^2 + a_3 + 1)} \cong \mathbb{Q}(\rho, a_1, a_2)$. Let $A = \left(\dfrac{\mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2]}{(x_1^3 - x_2^2)}\right)_{(x_1, x_2)}$ and $B = \dfrac{A[Y_1, Y_2, Y_3]}{(Y_1^3 - Y_2^3)}$, $A' = \dfrac{k'[\![x_1, x_2]\!]}{(x_1^3 - x_2^2)}$ and the map v defined as

$$\begin{aligned} v : \qquad B &\longrightarrow A' \\ Y_1 &\longmapsto a_1x_2 \\ Y_2 &\longmapsto a_1a_3x_2 \\ Y_3 &\longmapsto a_1\sum_{i=0}^{30}\frac{x_1^i}{i!} + a_2x_2\sum_{i=31}^{50}\frac{x_1^i}{i!} \end{aligned}$$

This is an easy example. Indeed, let $v'' : B'' = A[a_3, a_1x_2, v(Y_3)] \to A'$ be the inclusion. We have $\operatorname{Im} v \subset B'' \cong \dfrac{A[T, Y_1, Y_3]}{(T^2 + T + 1)}$ and $B''_{2a_3+1} \cong \left(\dfrac{A[T, Y_1, Y_3]}{(T^2 + T + 1)}\right)_{2T+1}$ is a smooth A-algebra, which could be taken as a General Neron Desingularization of B. Applying our algorithm we will get more complicated General Neron Desingularizations but useful for an illustration of our construction.

Then $\operatorname{Im} v$, the new B will be $\dfrac{B}{\operatorname{Ker} v}$, where the kernel is generated by the following polynomial:

```
ker[1]=Y1^2+Y1*Y2+Y2^2
```

Next we choose $f = Y_1^2 + Y_1Y_2 + Y_2^2$ and we have $M = 2Y_2 + Y_1$ and $1 \in \big((f):I\big)$ and hence $P' = Y_1 + 2Y_2$. Therefore $v(P') = (2a_1a_3 + a_1) \cdot x_2$ and $d' = x_2$, $z = \dfrac{1}{2a_1a_3 + a_1}$. Therefore $d = d'^2 = x_2^2$.

To be able to construct $\mathbb{Q}\left[\dfrac{1}{2a_1a_3 + a_1}\right][x]$ in SINGULAR we will add a new variable a and we will factorize with the corresponding polynomial $2a_1a_3 \cdot a + a_1 \cdot a - 1$. We will see this a as a new parameter from $k' \subset A'$. Then we change B by $B_1 = \dfrac{B[Y_4]}{(-d' + P'Y_4)}$ and extend v to a map $v_1 : B_1 \to A'$ given by $Y_4 \to a$. Changing B by B_1 we may assume that $d \in H_{B/A} \cap A$.

Example 5. Note that we could use B instead $\operatorname{Im} v$. In this case we choose $f = Y_1^3 - Y_2^3$ and take $M = 3Y_2^2$ and $1 \in \big((f) : I\big)$. Therefore we obtain $P' = 3Y_2^2$, $d' = x_2^2$, $d = x_2^4$ and the next computations are harder as we will see in the Examples 17 and 25.

Remark 6. We would like to work above with $A'' = \dfrac{\mathbb{C}[\![x_1, x_2]\!]}{(x_1^3 - x_2^2)}$ instead A', v being given by $v(Y_2) = a_1 \rho x_2$. But this is hard since we cannot work in Singular with an infinite set of parameters. We have two choices. If the definition of v involves only a finite set of parameters then we proceed as Example 4 using some $A' \supset \operatorname{Im} v$. Otherwise, we will see later that in the computation of the General Neron Desingularization we may use only a finite number of the coefficients of the formal power series defining $v(Y)$ and so this computation works in Singular.

Remark 7. As we may see our algorithm could go also when A' is not a domain, but there exist $P \in M((f) : I)$ as above and a regular element $d \in m$ with $d \equiv P$ modulo I. If A is Cohen-Macaulay we may reduce to the case when there exists a regular element $d \in H_{B/A} \cap A$. However, it is hard usually to reduce to the case when $d \equiv P$ modulo I for some $P \in M((f) : I)$. Sometimes this is possible as shows the following example.

Example 8. Let $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ be two elements algebraically independent over $\mathbb{Q}$. Consider $A = \left(\dfrac{\mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2, x_3]}{(x_2^3 - x_3^2, x_1^3 - x_3^2)}\right)_{(x_1,x_2,x_3)}$ and $B = \dfrac{A[Y_1, Y_2, Y_3]}{(Y_1^3 - Y_2^3)}$, $K' = \dfrac{\mathbb{Q}(a_1, a_2)[a_3]}{(a_3^2 - a_1 a_2)}$, $A' = \dfrac{K'[\![x_1, x_2, x_3]\!]}{(x_2^3 - x_3^2, x_1^3 - x_3^2)}$ and the map v defined as

$$\begin{array}{rrcl} v: & B & \longrightarrow & A' \\ & Y_1 & \longmapsto & a_3 x_1 \\ & Y_2 & \longmapsto & a_3 x_2 \\ & Y_3 & \longmapsto & a_1 \displaystyle\sum_{i=0}^{30} \frac{x_3^i}{i!} + a_2 \sum_{i=31}^{50} \frac{x_3^i}{i!} \end{array}$$

Then Im v, the new B, will be $\dfrac{B}{\text{Ker } v}$, where the kernel is generated by six polynomials:

```
ker[1]=x2*Y1-x1*Y2
ker[2]=Y1^3-Y2^3
ker[3]=x1*Y1^2-x2*Y2^2
ker[4]=x1^2*Y1-x2^2*Y2
ker[5]=x1*x2^2*Y2-x3^2*Y1
ker[6]=x1^2*x2*Y2^2-x3^2*Y1^2
```

Next we choose $f = x_2Y_1 - x_1Y_2$ and we have $M = -x_1$. We may take $N = -x_3^2 \in \big((f) : I\big)$ and $P' = x_1x_3^2$. Note that $x_1 - x_2$ is a zero divisor in A but $d' = P'$ is regular in A. In this example we may take $d = d' = P' = P$.

Remark 9. Changing B by $\operatorname{Im} v$ can be a hard goal if let us say A' is a factor of the power series ring over $\mathbb{C}$ in some variables x and $v(Y)$ is defined by formal power series whose coefficients form an infinite field extension F of $\mathbb{Q}$. If $v(Y)$ are polynomials in x as in Examples 4, 8 then it is trivial to find the General Neron Desingularization of B as we explained already in the last sentences of Section 1. For instance in Example 8, B' could be a localization of $K' \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} A$. Thus Examples 4, 8 have no real importance, they being useful only for an illustration of our algorithm. This is the reason that in the next examples the field L obtained by adjoining to k of all coefficients of y will be an infinite type field extension of k and $v(Y)$ are not all polynomials in x.

However, this will complicate the algorithm because we are not able to tell to the computer who is $v(Y)$ and so how to get d'. We may choose an element $a \in m$ and find a minimal $c \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a^c \in (v(M)) + (a^{2c})$ (this is possible because $\dim A = 1$). Set $d' = a^c$. It follows that $d' \in (v(M)) + (d'^2) \subset (v(M)) + (d'^4) \subset \ldots$ and so $d' \in (v(M))$, that is $d' = v(M)z$ for some $z \in A'$. Certainly we cannot find precisely z but later it is enough to know just a kind of truncation of it modulo d'^6.

Example 10. Let $a_i \in \mathbb{C}$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$ be elements algebraically independent over $\mathbb{Q}$ and ρ a root of the polynomial $T^2 + T + 1$ in $\mathbb{C}$. Let $A = \left(\dfrac{\mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2]}{(x_1^3 - x_2^2)}\right)_{(x_1,x_2)}$ and $B = \dfrac{A[Y_1, Y_2, Y_3]}{(Y_1^2 + Y_1Y_2 + Y_2^2)}$, $A' = \dfrac{\mathbb{C}[\![x_1, x_2]\!]}{(x_1^3 - x_2^2)}$ and the map v defined as

$$\begin{tikzcd}
v : & B \arrow[r] & A' \\
& Y_1 \arrow[r, mapsto] & a_1\left(x_2 + \sum_{i\geq 7} a_i x_2^i\right) \\
& Y_2 \arrow[r, mapsto] & a_1a_3\left(x_2 + \sum_{i\geq 7} a_i x_2^i\right) \\
& Y_3 \arrow[r, mapsto] & a_1\sum_{i=0}^{9}\frac{x_1^i}{i!} + x_2\sum_{i=10}^{\infty} a_{i-8}\frac{x_1^i}{i!}
\end{tikzcd}$$

As in Example 4 we may take $d' = x_2$, $d = d'^2$ and a. Our algorithm goes exactly as in Examples 4, 16, 24 providing the same General Neron Desingularization. This time we cannot find an easy General Neron Desingularization as in the first part of Example 4.

Example 11. Let $A = \dfrac{\mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2]_{(x_1,x_2)}}{(x_1^2 - x_2^3)}$, $A' = \dfrac{\mathbb{C}[\![x_1, x_2]\!]}{(x_1^2 - x_2^3)}$. Then the inclusion $A \subset A'$ is regular. Let $\theta_i = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{ij} x_2^j + x_1 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \beta_{ij} x_2^j \in \mathbb{C}[\![x_1, x_2]\!]$ for $i = 3, 4$ with $\alpha_{i0} = 1$ and $y_1 = \dfrac{\theta_3^3}{\theta_4^2}$, $y_2 = \dfrac{\theta_4^2}{\theta_3}$, $y_3 = x_2\theta_3$, $y_4 = x_2\theta_4$. Let $f_1 = Y_3^2 - x_2^2 Y_1 Y_2$, $f_2 = Y_4^2 - x_2 Y_2 Y_3$ be polynomials in $A[Y]$, $Y = (Y_1, \ldots, Y_4)$ and set $B = A[Y]/(f)$, $f = (f_1, f_2)$.

If R is a domain and $u \in R$ is such that $Y^2 - u \in R[Y]$ has no solutions in $Q(R)$ then it is easy to see that $R[Y]/(Y^2 - u)$ is a domain too. In our case we get that $R = A[Y_1, Y_2, Y_3]/(f_1)$ and $B = R[Y_4]/(f_2)$ are domains too. Then the map $v : B \to A'$ given by $Y \to y = (y_1, \ldots, y_4)$ is injective if we suppose that θ_3, θ_4 are algebraically independent over A. This follows since B is a domain and $\dim B = \operatorname{tr deg}_{Q(A)} Q(B) = \operatorname{tr deg}_{Q(A)} Q(\operatorname{Im} v) = 2 = \dim \operatorname{Im} v$. Moreover we will assume that the fields $L_i = \mathbb{Q}\big((\alpha_{ij}, \beta_{ij})_j\big)$, $i = 3, 4$ have infinite transcendental degree over $\mathbb{Q}$. The Jacobian matrix $\left(\dfrac{\partial f}{\partial Y}\right)$ have a $2 \times 2-$minor $M = \det \left(\dfrac{\partial f_i}{\partial Y_j}\right)_{\substack{1 \le i \le 2 \\ 3 \le j \le 4}} = 4Y_3Y_4 \notin (f)$. Note that $v(M) = x_2^2 y_5$, where $y_5 = 1/(4\theta_3\theta_4)$. Then we may take $B_1 = B[Y_5]/(f_3)$, $f_3 = -x_2^2 + MY_5$ and v_1 given by $Y_5 \to y_5$. Clearly, $P = M^2Y_5^2 \in H_{B_1/A}$ and $0 \neq d = x_2^4 = v_1(P) \in A$.

3. Proof of the case when $H_{B/A} \cap A \neq 0$.

Thus we may suppose that there exists $f = (f_1, \ldots, f_r)$, $r \le n$ a system of polynomials from I, a $r \times r$-minor M of the Jacobian matrix $(\partial f_i/\partial Y_j)$ and $N \in ((f) : I)$ such that $0 \neq d \equiv MN$ modulo I. Set $\bar{A} = A/(d^3)$, $\bar{A}' = A'/d^3A'$, $\bar{u} = \bar{A} \otimes_A u$, $\bar{B} = B/d^3B$, $\bar{v} = \bar{A} \otimes_A v$. Clearly, $\bar{u}$ is a regular morphism of Artinian local rings.

Remark 12. The whole proof could work with $\bar{A} = A/d^2u$ for any $u \in m$. We prefer to take $u = d$ as is done in [9] and [11] but we could choose $u \neq d$, $u \in m \setminus m^2$ for easy computations.

By [6, 19,7.1.5] for every field extension L/k there exists a flat complete Noetherian local $\bar{A}$-algebra $\tilde{A}$, unique up to an isomorphism, such that $m\tilde{A}$ is the maximal ideal of $\tilde{A}$ and $\tilde{A}/m\tilde{A} \cong L$. It follows that $\tilde{A}$ is Artinian. On the other hand, we may consider the localization A_L of $L \otimes_k \bar{A}$ in $m(L \otimes_k \bar{A})$ which is Artinian and so complete. By uniqueness we see that $A_L \cong \tilde{A}$. Set $k' = A'/mA'$. It follows that $\bar{A}' \cong A_{k'}$. Note that A_L is essentially smooth over A by base change and $\bar{A}'$ is a filtered union of sub-$\bar{A}$-algebras A_L with L/k finite type field sub extensions of k'/k.

Let v be given by $Y \to y \in A'^n$. Choose L/k a finite type field extension such that A_L contains the residue class $\bar{y} \in \bar{A}'^n$ induced by y. In fact $\bar{y}$ is a vector of polynomials in the generators of m with the coefficients c_ν in k' and we may take $L = k((c_\nu)_\nu)$. Then $\bar{v}$ factors through A_L. Assume that $k[(c_\nu)_\nu] \cong k[(U_\nu)_\nu]/\bar{J}$ for some new variables U and a prime ideal $\bar{J} \subset k[U]$. We have $H_{L/k} \neq 0$ because L/k is separable. Then we may assume that there exist $\omega = (\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_p)$ in $\bar{J}$ such that

$\rho = \det(\partial\omega_i/\partial U_\nu)_{i,\nu\in[p]} \neq 0$ and a nonzero polynomial $\tau \in ((\omega) : \bar{J}) \setminus \bar{J}$. Thus L is a fraction ring of the smooth k-algebra $(k[U]/(\omega))_{\rho\tau}$. Note that ω, ρ, τ can be considered in A because $k \subset A$ and $c_\nu \in A'$ because $k' \subset A'$.

Then $\bar{v}$ factors through a smooth $\bar{A}$-algebra $C \cong (\bar{A}[U]/(\omega))_{\rho\tau\gamma}$ for some polynomial γ which is not in $m(\bar{A}[U]/(\omega))_{\rho\tau}$.

Lemma 13. *There exists a smooth A-algebra D such that $\bar{v}$ factors through $\bar{D} = \bar{A} \otimes_A D$.*

Proof. By our assumptions $u(k) \subset k'$. Set $D = (A[U]/(\omega))_{\rho\tau\gamma}$ and $w : D \to A'$ be the map given by $U_\nu \to c_\nu$. We have $C \cong \bar{A} \otimes_A D$. Certainly, $\bar{v}$ factors through $\bar{w} = \bar{A} \otimes_A w$ but in general v does not factor through w. $\square$

Remark 14. If $A' = \hat{A}$ then $\bar{A} \cong \bar{A}'$ and we may take $D = A$.

Remark 15. Suppose that $k \subset A$ but $L \not\subset A'$ and so $k' \not\subset A'$. Then $D = (A[U,Z]/(\omega - d^3Z))_{\rho\tau\gamma}$, $Z = (Z_\nu)$ is a smooth A-algebra and $\bar{D} \cong C[Z]$. Since $\bar{v}$ factors through a map $C \to \bar{A}'$ given let us say by $U \to \lambda + d^3A'$ for some λ in A' we see that $\omega(\lambda) \equiv 0$ modulo d^3, that is $\omega(\lambda) = d^3z$ for some z in A'. Let $w : D \to A'$ be the A-morphism given by $(U,Z) \to (\lambda, z)$. Certainly, $\bar{v}$ factors through $\bar{w} = \bar{A} \otimes_A w$ but in general v does not factor through w. If also $k \not\subset A$ then the construction of D goes as above but using a lifting of ω, τ, γ from $k[U]$ to $A[U]$. In both cases we may use D as it follows.

Example 16. We reconsider Example 4. We already know that $d = x_2^2$. The algorithm gives us the following output:

```
This is C:
//   characteristic : 0
//   number of vars : 5
//        block   1 : ordering dp
//                  : names    a1 a3 a x1 x2
//        block   2 : ordering C
// quotient ring from ideal
_[1]=3*a1*a+2*a3+1
_[2]=a3^2+a3+1
_[3]=x1^3-x2^2
_[4]=x2^6
This is D:
//   characteristic : 0
//   number of vars : 5
//        block   1 : ordering dp
//                  : names    a1 a3 a x1 x2
//        block   2 : ordering C
// quotient ring from ideal
_[1]=3*a1*a+2*a3+1
_[2]=a3^2+a3+1
_[3]=x1^3-x2^2
```

Indeed,

$$C = \frac{\bar{A}[a_1, a_3, a]}{(3a_1a + 2a_3 + 1, a_3^2 + a_3 + 1, x_2^6)}$$

and

$$D = \frac{A[a_1, a_3, a]}{(3a_1a + 2a_3 + 1, a_3^2 + a_3 + 1)}.$$

Note that the first polynomial from C comes from the standard basis computation of the ideal $(2a_1a_3a + a_1a - 1, a_3^2 + a_3 + 1)$.

Example 17. Now we reconsider Example 5. We know that $d = x_2^4$. The algorithm gives us the following output:

```
This is C:
//   characteristic : 0
//   number of vars : 5
//        block   1 : ordering dp
//                  : names    a1 a3 a x1 x2
//        block   2 : ordering C
// quotient ring from ideal
_[1]=a3^2+a3+1
_[2]=x1^3-x2^2
_[3]=a1^2*a-a3
_[4]=x2^12

This is D:
//   characteristic : 0
//   number of vars : 5
//        block   1 : ordering dp
//                  : names    a1 a3 a x1 x2
//        block   2 : ordering C
// quotient ring from ideal
_[1]=a3^2+a3+1
_[2]=x1^3-x2^2
_[3]=a1^2*a-a3
```

Indeed,

$$C = \frac{\bar{A}[a_1, a_3, a]}{(a_3^2 + a_3 + 1, a_1^2a - a_3, x_2^{12})}$$

and

$$D = \frac{A[a_1, a_3, a]}{(a_3^2 + a_3 + 1, a_1^2a - a_3)}.$$

Example 18. In the case of Example 8 we obtain the following output:

```
This is C:
//   characteristic : 0
//   number of vars : 5
//        block   1 : ordering dp
//                    : names    a1 a3 x1 x2 x3
//        block   2 : ordering C
// quotient ring from ideal
_[1]=x2^3-x3^2
_[2]=x1^3-x3^2
_[3]=x3^8
This is D:
//   characteristic : 0
//   number of vars : 5
//        block   1 : ordering dp
//                    : names    a1 a3 x1 x2 x3
//        block   2 : ordering C
// quotient ring from ideal
_[1]=x2^3-x3^2
_[2]=x1^3-x3^2
```

Indeed this is the case since we have $d = x_1 x_3^2$ and hence

$$C = \frac{\bar{A}[a_1, a_3]}{(x_3^8)}$$

and

$$D = A[a_1, a_3].$$

Example 19. In Example 11 we consider a_1, a_2 algebraically independent over $\mathbb{Q}$ and set $\theta'_3 = 1 + a_1 x_2$ and $\theta'_4 = 1 + a_2 x_2^2$. Suppose that $\theta'_i \equiv \theta_i$ modulo x_2^{12}. We have $y_3 = x_2\theta_3$, $y_4 = x_2\theta_4$, $y_1 = \dfrac{\theta_3^3}{\theta_4^2}$, $y_2 = \dfrac{\theta_4^2}{\theta_3}$, $y_5 = \dfrac{1}{(4\theta_3\theta_4)}$. Choose y'_i, $i \in [5]$ polynomials with degrees ≤ 11 in x_2 and linear in x_1 such that $y'_i \equiv y_i \bmod(x_1^2, x_2^{12})$. We get $y'_1 \equiv y_1 = \theta_3^3/\theta_4^2 \equiv {\theta'}_3^3/{\theta'}_4^2 \bmod(x_1^2, x_2^{12})$ and similarly for y'_i, $i > 1$. Here we use the fact that $\theta_4^{-2} = \sum_{j=1}^{e}(1-\theta_4^2)^j$ for some $e >> 0$ because $1 - \theta_4^2$ is nilpotent in the ring $\bar{A}[a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4]$. Thus the coefficients of y'_i, $i \in [5]$ belong to the field L obtained by adjoining to $\mathbb{Q}$ the coefficients of θ'_3, θ'_4. Note that in this case $L = Q\left(\mathbb{Q}[a_1, \ldots, a_4]\right)$. Then we obtain the following output:

```
This is C:
//   characteristic : 0
//   number of vars : 4
//        block   1 : ordering dp
//                    : names    a1 a2 x1 x2
//        block   2 : ordering C
// quotient ring from ideal
_[1]=x2^3-x1^2
_[2]=x1^8
This is D:
//   characteristic : 0
//   number of vars : 4
//        block   1 : ordering dp
//                  : names    a1 a2 x1 x2
//        block   2 : ordering C
// quotient ring from ideal
_[1]=x2^3-x1^2
```

Thus $C = \dfrac{\bar{A}[a_1,\ldots,a_4]}{(x_2^{12})} \cong \bar{A}[a_1,\ldots,a_4]$ which is smooth over $\bar{A}$. Then D is equal with $A[a_1,\ldots,a_4]$.

Back to our proof note that the composite map $\bar{B} \to C \to \bar{D}$ is given by $Y \to y' + d^3D$ for some $y' \in D^n$. Thus $I(y') \equiv 0$ modulo d^3D. Since $\bar{v}$ factors through $\bar{w}$ we see that $\bar{w}(y' + d^3D) = \bar{y}$. Set $\tilde{y} = w(y')$. We get $y - \tilde{y} \in d^3A'^n$, let us say $y - \tilde{y} = d^2\varepsilon$ for $\varepsilon \in dA'^n$.

We have $d \equiv P$ modulo I and so $P(y') \equiv d$ modulo d^3 in D because $I(y') \equiv 0$ modulo d^3D. Thus $P(y') = ds$ for a certain $s \in D$ with $s \equiv 1$ modulo d. Assume that $P = NM$ for some $N \in ((f) : I)$. Recall from beginning of Section 2 that the new M is now the old one multiplied with P' and the new N is the old one multiplied with Z^2. Let H be the $n \times n$-matrix obtained adding down to $(\partial f/\partial Y)$ as a border the block $(0|\mathrm{Id}_{n-r})$. Let G' be the adjoint matrix of H and $G = NG'$. We have

$$GH = HG = NM\mathrm{Id}_n = P\mathrm{Id}_n$$

and so

$$ds\mathrm{Id}_n = P(y')\mathrm{Id}_n = G(y')H(y').$$

Then $t := H(y')\varepsilon \in dA'^n$ satisfies

$$G(y')t = P(y')\varepsilon = ds\varepsilon$$

and so

$$s(y - \tilde{y}) = dw(G(y'))t.$$

Let

$$h = s(Y - y') - dG(y')T, \tag{1}$$

where $T = (T_1,\ldots,T_n)$ are new variables. The kernel of the map $\varphi : D[Y,T] \to A'$ given by $Y \to y$, $T \to t$ contains h. Since

$$s(Y - y') \equiv dG(y')T \text{ modulo } h$$

and

$$f(Y) - f(y') \equiv \sum_j \frac{\partial f}{\partial Y_j}(y')(Y_j - y'_j)$$

modulo higher order terms in $Y_j - y'_j$ by Taylor's formula we see that for $p = \max_i \deg f_i$ we have

$$s^p f(Y) - s^p f(y') \equiv \sum_j s^{p-1} d \frac{\partial f}{\partial Y_j}(y')G_j(y')T_j + d^2Q = s^{p-1}dP(y')T + d^2Q \tag{2}$$

modulo h where $Q \in T^2D[T]^r$. This is because $(\partial f/\partial Y)G = (P\mathrm{Id}_r|0)$. We have $f(y') = d^2b$ for some $b \in dD^r$. Then

$$g_i = s^p b_i + s^p T_i + Q_i, \qquad i \in [r] \tag{3}$$

is in the kernel of φ because $d^2\varphi(g) = d^2g(t) \in (h(y,t), f(y)) = (0)$. Set $E = D[Y,T]/(I,g,h)$ and let $\psi : E \to A'$ be the map induced by φ. Clearly, v factors through ψ because v is the composed map $B \to B \otimes_A D \cong D[Y]/I \to E \xrightarrow{\psi} A'$.

Note that the $r\times r$-minor s' of $(\partial g/\partial T)$ given by the first r-variables T is from $s^{rp} + (T) \subset 1 + (d,T)D[Y,T]$ because $Q \in (T)^2$. Then $U = (D[Y,T]/(h,g))_{ss'}$ is smooth over D. We claim that $I \subset (h,g)D[Y,T]_{ss's''}$ for some other $s'' \in 1 + (d,T)D[Y,T]$. Indeed, we have $PI \subset (h,g)D[Y,T]_s$ and so $P(y' + s^{-1}dG(y')T)I \subset (h,g)D[Y,T]_s$. Since $P(y' + s^{-1}dG(y')T) \in P(y') + d(T)$ we get $P(y' + s^{-1}dG(y')T) = ds''$ for some $s'' \in 1 + (d,T)D[Y,T]$. It follows that $s''I \subset (h,g)D[Y,T]_{ss'}$ because d is regular in U, the map $D \to U$ being flat, and so $I \subset (h,g)D[Y,T]_{ss's''}$. Thus $E_{ss's''} \cong U_{s''}$ is a B-algebra which is also standard smooth over D and A.

As $w(s) \equiv 1$ modulo d and $w(s'), w(s'') \equiv 1$ modulo (d,t), $d,t \in mA'$ we see that $w(s), w(s'), w(s'')$ are invertible because A' is local and ψ (thus v) factors through the standard smooth A-algebra $E_{ss's''}$.

4. A theorem of Greenberg's type

Let (A,m) be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring (for example a reduced ring) of dimension one, $A' = \hat{A}$ the completion of A, $B = A[Y]/I$, $Y = (Y_1,\dots,Y_n)$ a finite type A-algebra and $c, e \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that there exist $f = (f_1,\dots,f_r)$ in I, a $r\times r$-minor M of the Jacobian matrix $(\partial f/\partial Y)$, $N \in ((f):I)$ and an A-morphism $v : B \to A/m^{2e+c}$ such that $(v(MN)) \supset m^e/m^{2e+c}$.

Theorem 20. *Then there exists an A-morphism $v' : B \to \hat{A}$ such that $v' \equiv v$ modulo m^c, that is $v'(Y+I) \equiv v(Y+I)$ modulo m^c.*

Proof. We note that the proof of Theorem 3 can work somehow in this case. Let $y' \in A^n$ be an element inducing $v(Y+I)$. Then $m^e \subset ((MN)(y')) + m^{2e+c} \subset ((MN)(y')) + m^{3e+2c} \subset \dots$ by hypothesis. It follows that $m^e \subset ((MN)(y'))$. Since A is Cohen-Macaulay we see that m^e contains a regular element of A and so $(MN)(y')$ must be regular too.

Set $d = (MN)(y')$. Next we follow the proof of Theorem 3 with $D = A$, $s = 1$, $P = MN$ and H, G such that .

$$d\mathrm{Id}_n = P(y')\mathrm{Id}_n = G(y')H(y').$$

Let

$$h = Y - y' - dG(y')T,$$

where $T = (T_1,\dots,T_n)$ are new variables. We have

$$f(Y) - f(y') \equiv dP(y')T + d^2Q$$

modulo h where $Q \in T^2A[T]^r$. But $f(y') \in m^{2e+c}A^r \subset d^2m^cA^r$ and we get $f(y') = d^2b$ for some $b \in m^cA^r$. Set $g_i = b_i + T_i + Q_i$, $i \in [r]$ and $E = A[Y,T]/(I,h,g)$.

We have an A-morphism $\beta : E \to A/m^c$ given by $(Y,T) \to (y',0)$ because $I(y') \equiv 0$ modulo m^{2e+c}, $h(y',0) = 0$ and $g(0) = b \in m^c A^r$.

As in the proof of Theorem 3 we have $E_{s's''} \cong U_{s''}$, where $U = (A[Y,T]/(g,h))_{s'}$. This isomorphism follows because d is regular in A and so in U. Consequently, $E_{s's''}$ is smooth over A. Note that β extends to a map $\beta' : E_{s's''} \to A/m^c$. By the Implicit Function Theorem β' can be lifted to a map $w : E_{s's''} \to \hat{A}$ which coincides with β' modulo m^c. It follows that the composite map v', $B \to E_{s's''} \xrightarrow{w} \hat{A}$ works. □

Corollary 21. *In the assumptions of the above theorem, suppose that* (A,m) *is excellent Henselian. Then there exists an* A*-morphism* $v'' : B \to A$ *such that* $v'' \equiv v$ *modulo* m^c*, that is* $v''(Y+I) \equiv v(Y+I)$ *modulo* m^c*.*

Proof. An excellent Henselian local ring (A,m) has the property of Artin approximation by [9], that is the solutions in A of a system of polynomial equations f over A are dense in the set of the solutions of f in $\hat{A}$. By Theorem 20 we get an A-morphism $v' : B \to \hat{A}$ such that $v' \equiv v$ modulo m^c. Then there exists an A-morphism $v'' : B \to A$ such that $v'' \equiv v' \equiv v$ modulo m^c by the property of Artin approximation. □

Theorem 22. *Let* (A,m) *be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension one,* $B = A[Y]/I$, $Y = (Y_1,\ldots,Y_n)$ *a finite type* A*-algebra,* $e \in \mathbb{N}$ *and* $f = (f_1,\ldots,f_r)$ *a system of polynomials from* I*. Suppose that* A *is excellent Henselian and there exist a* $r \times r$*-minor* M *of the Jacobian matrix* $(\partial f/\partial Y)$, $N \in ((f) : I)$ *and* $y' \in A^n$ *such that* $I(y') \equiv 0$ *modulo* m^e *and* $((NM)(y')) \supset m^e$*. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

1. *there exists* $y'' \in A^n$ *such that* $I(y'') \equiv 0$ *modulo* m^{3e} *and* $y'' \equiv y'$ *modulo* m^e,
2. *there exists* $y \in A^n$ *such that* $I(y) = 0$ *and* $y \equiv y'$ *modulo* m^e.

For the proof apply the above corollary and Theorem 20.

5. Computation of the General Neron Desingularization in Examples 4, 5, 8, 11

Example 23. We would like to compute Example 4 in Singular using `GND.lib`. We quickly recall the example.

Let $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ be two elements algebraically independent over $\mathbb{Q}$ and ρ a root of the polynomial T^2+T+1 in $\mathbb{C}$. Then $k' = \dfrac{\mathbb{Q}(a_1,a_2)[a_3]}{(a_3^2+a_3+1)} \cong \mathbb{Q}(\rho,a_1,a_2)$. Let $A = \left(\dfrac{\mathbb{Q}[x_1,x_2]}{(x_1^3-x_2^2)}\right)_{(x_1,x_2)}$ and $B = \dfrac{A[Y_1,Y_2,Y_3]}{(Y_1^3-Y_2^2)}$, $A' = \dfrac{k'[\![x_1,x_2]\!]}{(x_1^3-x_2^2)}$ and the map v defined as

$$\begin{aligned} v :\quad & B \longrightarrow A' \\ & Y_1 \longmapsto a_1x_2 \\ & Y_2 \longmapsto a_1a_3x_2 \\ & Y_3 \longmapsto a_1\sum_{i=0}^{30}\frac{x_1^i}{i!} + a_2x_2\sum_{i=31}^{50}\frac{x_1^i}{i!} \end{aligned}$$

For this we do the following:

```
LIB "GND.lib";                              //load the library
ring All = 0,(a1,a2,a3,x1,x2,Y1,Y2,Y3),dp;  //define the ring
int nra = 3;                                //number of a's
int nrx = 2;                                //number of x's
int nry = 3;                                //number of Y's
ideal xid = x1^3-x2^2;                      //define the ideal from A
ideal yid = Y1^3-Y2^3;                      //define the ideal from B
ideal aid = a3^2+a3+1;                      //define the ideal from k'
poly y;
int i;
for(i=0;i<=30;i++)
{
  y = y + a1*x1^i/factorial(i);
}
for(i=31;i<=50;i++)
{
  y = y + a2*x2*x1^i/factorial(i);
}
ideal f = a1*x2,a1*a3*x2,y;                //define the map v
desingularization(All, nra,nrx,nry,xid,yid,aid,f,"debug");
```

Example 24. We continue on the idea of Examples 4, 16. The bordered matrix H defined above is equal to

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 2Y_1 + Y_2 & Y_1 + 2Y_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ Y_4 & 2Y_4 & 0 & Y_1 + 2Y_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and hence $G = N \cdot G'$ is equal to

$$G = Y_4^2 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & Y_1^2 + 4Y_1Y_2 + 4Y_2^2 & 0 \\ Y_1 + 2Y_2 & 0 & -2Y_1^2 - 5Y_1Y_2 - 2Y_2^2 & 0 \\ 0 & Y_1^2 + 4Y_1Y_2 + 4Y_2^2 & 0 & 0 \\ -2Y_4 & 0 & 3Y_1Y_4 & Y_1 + 2Y_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and $s = 1$. Using the definition of h in Equation 1, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} h_1 &= Y_1 - (x_2^4) \cdot T_3 - (a_1x_2), \\ h_2 &= Y_2 - \frac{x_2^3}{2a_1a_3 + a_1} \cdot T_1 + \frac{a_3x_2^4 + 2x_2^4}{2a_3 + 1} \cdot T_3 - (a_1a_3x_2), \\ h_3 &= Y_3 - (x_2^4) \cdot T_2 - \Bigg(\frac{1}{6!}a_1x_1^6 + \frac{1}{5!}a_1x_1^5 + \frac{1}{4!}a_1x_1^4 + \frac{1}{3!}a_1x_1^3 + \frac{1}{2}a_1x_1^2 + \\ &\quad a_1x_1 + a_1\Big), \\ h_4 &= Y_4 + \frac{2x_2^2}{(2a_1a_3 + a_1)^3} \cdot T_1 - \frac{3x_2^3}{a_1^2(2a_3 + 1)^3} \cdot T_3 - \frac{x_2^3}{2a_1a_3 + a_1} \cdot T_4 + \frac{1}{2a_1a_3 + a_1}. \end{aligned}$$

From Equation 2 we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_1 &= \frac{x_2^2}{\left(2a_1a_3+a_1\right)^2}\cdot T_1^2 - \frac{3x_2^3}{a_1\left(2a_3+1\right)^2}\cdot T_1T_3 + \frac{3a_3^2x_2^4+3a_3x_2^4+3x_2^4}{\left(2a_3+1\right)^2}\cdot T_3^2,\\
Q_2 &= -\frac{4x_2}{\left(2a_1a_3+a_1\right)^4}\cdot T_1^2 + \frac{12x_2^2}{a_1^3\left(2a_3+1\right)^4}\cdot T_1T_3 - \frac{9x_2^3}{a_1^2\left(2a_3+1\right)^4}\cdot T_3^2+\\
&\ \frac{2x_2^2}{\left(2a_1a_3+a_1\right)^2}\cdot T_1T_4 - \frac{3x_2^3}{a_1\left(2a_3+1\right)^2}\cdot T_3T_4
\end{aligned}$$

and therefore following the definition of g in Equation 3 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
g_1 &= Q_1+T_1+(a_1^2a_3^2+a_1^2a_3+a_1^2),\\
g_2 &= Q_2+T_2.
\end{aligned}$$

We print now the algorithm's debug output using the line codes from Example 23.

```
This is the bordered matrix H:
2*Y1+Y2,Y1+2*Y2,0,0,
0,      0,      1,0,
1,      0,      0,0,
Z,      2*Z,    0,Y1+2*Y2
This is G:
0,                 0,      G[1,3],   0,
Y1*Y4^2+2*Y2*Y4^2,0,      G[2,3],   0,
0,                 G[3,2],0,        0,
-2*Y4^3,           0,      3*Y1*Y4^3,Y1*Y4^2+2*Y2*Y4^2

G[1,3]=Y1^2*Y4^2+4*Y1*Y2*Y4^2+4*Y2^2*Y4^2
G[2,3]=-2*Y1^2*Y4^2-5*Y1*Y2*Y4^2-2*Y2^2*Y4^2
G[3,2]=Y1^2*Y4^2+4*Y1*Y2*Y4^2+4*Y2^2*Y4^2

s = 1
h =
_[1]=Y1+(-x2^4)*T3+(-a1*x2)
_[2]=Y2+(-x2^3)/(2*a1*a3+a1)*T1+(a3*x2^4+2*x2^4)/(2*a3+1)*T3+
(-a1*a3*x2)
_[3]=Y3+(-x2^4)*T2+(-a1*x1^6-6*a1*x1^5-30*a1*x1^4-120*a1*x1^3
-360*a1*x1^2-720*a1*x1-720*a1)/720
_[4]=Y4+(2*x2^2)/(8*a1^3*a3^3+12*a1^3*a3^2+6*a1^3*a3+a1^3)*T1+
(-3*x2^3)/(8*a1^2*a3^3+12*a1^2*a3^2+6*a1^2*a3+a1^2)*T3+
(-x2^3)/(2*a1*a3+a1)*T4-1/(2*a1*a3+a1)

m = 2
QT =
_[1]=(x2^2)/(4*a1^2*a3^2+4*a1^2*a3+a1^2)*T1^2+
(-3*x2^3)/(4*a1*a3^2+4*a1*a3+a1)*T1*T3+
(3*a3^2*x2^4+3*a3*x2^4+3*x2^4)/(4*a3^2+4*a3+1)*T3^2
```

```
_[2]=(-4*x2)/(16*a1^4*a3^4+32*a1^4*a3^3+24*a1^4*a3^2+8*a1^4*a3+a1^4)
*T1^2+(12*x2^2)/(16*a1^3*a3^4+32*a1^3*a3^3+24*a1^3*a3^2+8*a1^3*a3
+a1^3)*T1*T3+(-9*x2^3)/(16*a1^2*a3^4+32*a1^2*a3^3+24*a1^2*a3^2
+8*a1^2*a3+a1^2)*T3^2+(2*x2^2)/(4*a1^2*a3^2+4*a1^2*a3+a1^2)*T1*T4
+(-3*x2^3)/(4*a1*a3^2+4*a1*a3+a1)*T3*T4

f =
f[1]=Y1^2+Y1*Y2+Y2^2
f[2]=Y1*Y4+2*Y2*Y4+(-x2^2)

g =
_[1]=(x2^2)/(4*a1^2*a3^2+4*a1^2*a3+a1^2)*T1^2+(-3*x2^3)/(4*a1*a3^2+
4*a1*a3+a1)*T1*T3+(3*a3^2*x2^4+3*a3*x2^4+3*x2^4)/(4*a3^2+4*a3+1)*T3^2
+T1+(a1^2*a3^2+a1^2*a3+a1^2)
_[2]=(-4*x2)/(16*a1^4*a3^4+32*a1^4*a3^3+24*a1^4*a3^2+8*a1^4*a3+a1^4)
*T1^2+(12*x2^2)/(16*a1^3*a3^4+32*a1^3*a3^3+24*a1^3*a3^2+8*a1^3*
a3+a1^3)*T1*T3+(-9*x2^3)/(16*a1^2*a3^4+32*a1^2*a3^3+24*a1^2*a3^2
+8*a1^2*a3+a1^2)*T3^2+(2*x2^2)/(4*a1^2*a3^2+4*a1^2*a3+a1^2)*T1*T4
+(-3*x2^3)/(4*a1*a3^2+4*a1*a3+a1)*T3*T4+T2
```

Thus the General Neron Desingularization is a localization of $D[Y,T]/(h,g) \cong D[T]/(g)$.

Example 25. In the case of Example 5 and 17 we obtain that the bordered matrix

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 3Y_1^2 & -3Y_2^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -6Y_2Y_4 & 0 & -3Y_2^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and hence $G = N \cdot G'$ is equal to

$$G = Y_4^2 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 9Y_2^4 & 0 \\ -3Y_2^2 & 0 & -3Y_1^2Y_2^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 9Y_2^4 & 0 & 0 \\ 6Y_2Y_4 & 0 & -18Y_1^2Y_2Y_4 & -3Y_2^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and $s = 1$. Using the definition of h in Equation 1, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
h_1 &= Y_1 - (x_2^8) \cdot T_3 + (-a_1 x_2)\,, \\
h_2 &= Y_2 + \frac{x_2^6}{3a_1^2 a_3^2} \cdot T_1 - \frac{x_2^8}{a_3^2} \cdot T_3 - (a_1 a_3 x_2)\,, \\
h_3 &= Y_3 - (x_2^8) \cdot T_2 - \left(\frac{1}{12!} a_1 x_1^{12} + \frac{1}{11!} a_1 x_1^{11} + \frac{1}{10!} a_1 x_1^{10} + \frac{1}{9!} a_1 x_1^9 + \frac{1}{8!} a_1 x_1^8 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{7!} a_1 x_1^7 + \frac{1}{6!} a_1 x_1^6 + \frac{1}{5!} a_1 x_1^5 + \frac{1}{4!} a_1 x_1^4 + \frac{1}{3!} a_1 x_1^3 + \frac{1}{2} a_1 x_1^2 + a_1 x_1 + a_1 \right), \\
h_4 &= Y_4 + \frac{2x_2^5}{9a_1^5 a_3^5} \cdot T_1 - \frac{2x_2^7}{3a_1^3 a_3^5} \cdot T_3 + \frac{x_2^6}{3a_1^2 a_3^2} \cdot T_4 + \frac{1}{3a_1^2 a_3^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

From Equation 2 we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_1 &= \frac{x_2^{10}}{27a_1^6 a_3^6} \cdot T_1^3 - \frac{x_2^{12}}{3a_1^4 a_3^6} \cdot T_1^2 T_3 + \frac{x_2^{14}}{a_1^2 a_3^6} \cdot T_1 T_3^2 + \frac{a_3^6 x_2^{16} - x_2^{16}}{a_3^6} \cdot T_3^3 - \\
&\quad \frac{x_2^5}{3a_1^3 a_3^3} \cdot T_1^2 + \frac{2x_2^7}{a_1 a_3^3} \cdot T_1 T_3 + \frac{3a_1 a_3^3 x_2^9 - 3a_1 x_2^9}{a_3^3} \cdot T_3^2, \\
Q_2 &= \frac{2ax_2^9}{27a_1^9 a_3^9} \cdot T_1^3 - \frac{2x_2^{11}}{3a_1 75a_3^9} \cdot T_1^2 T_3 + \frac{2x_2^{13}}{a_1^5 a_3^9} \cdot T_1 T_3^2 - \frac{2x_2^{15}}{a_1^3 a_3^9} \cdot T_3^3 + \\
&\quad \frac{x_2^{10}}{9a_1^6 a_3^6} \cdot T_1^2 T_4 - \frac{2x_2^{12}}{3a_1^4 a_3^6} \cdot T_1 T_3 T_4 + \frac{x_2^{14}}{a_1^2 a_3^6} \cdot T_3^2 T_4 - \frac{x_2^4}{3a_1^6 a_3^6} \cdot T_1^2 + \\
&\quad \frac{2x_2^6}{a_1^4 a_3^6} \cdot T_1 T_3 - \frac{3x_2^8}{a_1^2 a_3^6} \cdot T_3^2 - \frac{2x_2^5}{3a_1^3 a_3^3} \cdot T_1 T_4 + \frac{2x_2^7}{a_1 a_3^3} \cdot T_3 T_4
\end{aligned}$$

and therefore following the definition of g in Equation 3 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
g_1 &= Q_1 + T_1, \\
g_2 &= Q_2 + T_2
\end{aligned}$$

To obtain this with SINGULAR, we use the same code lines as in Example 23, but we change the last one with

```
desingularization(All, nra,nrx,nry,xid,yid,aid,f,"injective","debug");
```

Doing this, the algorithm will not compute the kernel because of the `injective` argument.

Example 26. We do now the same computations for Examples 8, 18. The bordered matrix H defined above is equal to

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} x_2 & -x_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -x_1 x_3^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and hence $G = N \cdot G'$ is equal to

$$G = Y_4^2 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & x_1^2 x_3^4 & 0 \\ -x_1 x_3^4 & 0 & x_1 x_2 x_3^4 & 0 \\ 0 & x_1^2 x_3^4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -x_1 x_3^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and $s = 1$. Using the definition of h in Equation 1, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} h_1 &= Y_1 + (x_1^3 x_3^6) \cdot T_3 - (a_3 x_1), \\ h_2 &= Y_2 - (x_1^2 x_3^6) \cdot T_1 + (x_1^2 x_2 x_3^6) \cdot T_3 - (a_3 x_2), \\ h_3 &= Y_3 + (x_1^3 x_3^6) \cdot T_2 - \left(\frac{1}{7!} a_1 x_3^7 + \frac{1}{6!} a_1 x_3^6 + \frac{1}{5!} a_1 x_3^5 \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{1}{4!} a_1 x_3^4 + \frac{1}{3!} a_1 x_3^3 + \frac{1}{2!} a_1 x_3^2 + a_1 x_3 + a_1 \right), \\ h_4 &= Y_4 + (x_1^2 x_3^4) \cdot T_4 + 1. \end{aligned}$$

From Equation 2 we get that

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1 &= 0, \\ Q_2 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

and therefore following the definition of g in Equation 3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 &= T_1 \\ g_2 &= T_2. \end{aligned}$$

To compute this with the library we do the following:

```
ring All = 0,(a1,a2,a3,x1,x2,x3,Y1,Y2,Y3),dp;
int nra = 3;
int nrx = 3;
int nry = 3;
ideal xid = x2^3-x3^2,x1^3-x3^2;
ideal yid = Y1^3-Y2^3;
ideal aid = a3^2-a1*a2;
poly y;
int i;
for(i=0;i<=30;i++)
{
  y = y + a1*x3^i/factorial(i);
}
for(i=31;i<=50;i++)
{
  y = y + a2*x3^i/factorial(i);
}
ideal f = a3*x1,a3*x2,y;
desingularization(All, nra,nrx,nry,xid,yid,aid,f,"debug");
```

The algorithm's output is as expected:

```
This is the nice bordered matrix H:
(x2),(-x1),0,0,
0,   0,    1,0,
1,   0,    0,0,
0,   0,    0,(-x1*x3^2)
This is G:
0,              0,                (x1^2*x3^4)*Y4^2, 0,
(-x1*x3^4)*Y4^2,0,               (x1*x2*x3^4)*Y4^2,0,
0,              (x1^2*x3^4)*Y4^2,0,                0,
0,              0,               0,                (-x1*x3^2)*Y4^2


s = 1

h =
h[1]=Y1+(x1^3*x3^6)*T3+(-a3*x1)
h[2]=Y2+(-x1^2*x3^6)*T1+(x1^2*x2*x3^6)*T3+(-a3*x2)
h[3]=Y3+(x1^3*x3^6)*T2+(-a1*x3^7-7*a1*x3^6-42*a1*x3^5-210*a1*x3^4
     -840*a1*x3^3-2520*a1*x3^2-5040*a1*x3-5040*a1)/5040
h[4]=Y4+(-x1^2*x3^4)*T4+1

m = 1

QT =
QT[1]=0
QT[2]=0
f =
f[1]=(x2)*Y1+(-x1)*Y2
f[2]=(x1*x3^2)*Y4+(-x1*x3^2)

g
_[1]=T1
_[2]=T2
```

Thus the General Neron Desingularization is a localization of $D[Y, T_3, T_4]/(h) \cong D[T_3, T_4]$.

Example 27. We do now the same computations for Example 19. In this example, the computations are much more complicated. The output is unfortunately too big but we will try to describe the result.

The bordered matrix H defined above is equal to

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x_2 \cdot Y_3 & x_2 \cdot Y_2 & -2 \cdot Y_4 & 0 \\ x_1^2 \cdot Y_2 & x_1^2 \cdot Y_1 & -2 \cdot Y_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4Y_4Y_5 & 4Y_3Y_5 & 4Y_3Y_4 \end{pmatrix}$$

and hence $G = N \cdot G'$ is equal to

$$G = Y_5^2 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 16Y_3^2Y_4^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 16Y_3^2Y_4^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -8Y_3Y_4^2 & 8x_1^2 \cdot Y_1Y_3Y_4^2 & 8x_1^2 \cdot Y_2Y_3Y_4^2 & 0 \\ -8Y_3^2Y_4 & -4x_2 \cdot Y_2Y_3Y_4 & G[4,3] & 4x_1^2x_2 \cdot Y_2^2Y_3Y_4 & 0 \\ 8Y_3^2Y_5 & x_2 \cdot Y_2Y_3Y_5 + 2Y_4^2Y_5 & G[5,3] & G[5,4] & 4Y_3Y_4 \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$G[4,3] = 4x_1^2x_2 \cdot Y_1Y_2Y_3Y_4 + 2x_2 \cdot Y_3^3Y_4,$$

$$G[5,3] = -4x_1^2x_2 \cdot Y_1Y_2Y_3Y_5 - 2x_2 \cdot Y_3^3Y_5 - 2x_1^2 \cdot Y_1Y_4^2Y_5 \text{ and}$$

$$G[5,4] = -4x_1^2x_2 \cdot Y_2^2Y_3Y_5 - 2x_1^2 \cdot Y_2Y_4^2Y_5$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} s = \ & a_1^8a_2^2x_2^{12} - 2a_1^5a_2^4x_2^{13} + a_1^2a_2^6x_2^{14} - 2a_1^6a_2^3x_2^{12} + 2a_1^3a_2^5x_2^{13} - a_1^4a_2^4x_2^{12} + 2a_1a_2^6x_2^{13} + \\ & 2a_1^8a_2x_2^{10} - 4a_1^5a_2^3x_2^{11} + 4a_1^2a_2^5x_2^{12} - 4a_1^6a_2^2x_2^{10} + 4a_1^3a_2^4x_2^{11} + a_2^6x_2^{12} + 2a_1a_2^5x_2^{11} + \\ & a_1^8x_2^8 - 2a_1^5a_2^2x_2^9 + 3a_1^2a_2^4x_2^{10} - 2a_1^6a_2x_2^8 + 2a_1^3a_2^3x_2^9 + a_1^4a_2^2x_2^8 - 2a_1^4a_2x_2^6 + \\ & 2a_1a_2^3x_2^7 + 2a_1^2a_2^2x_2^6 + 2a_2^3x_2^6 - 2a_1^4x_2^4 + 2a_1a_2^2x_2^5 + 2a_1^2a_2x_2^4 + 1 \end{aligned}$$

Using the definition of h in Equation 1, we get that

$$\begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \\ h_3 \\ h_4 \\ h_5 \end{pmatrix} = s \cdot \begin{pmatrix} Y_1 - y_1' \\ Y_2 - y_2' \\ Y_3 - y_3' \\ Y_4 - y_4' \\ Y_5 - y_5' \end{pmatrix} - x_2^4G(y') \cdot \begin{pmatrix} T_1 \\ T_2 \\ T_3 \\ T_4 \\ T_5 \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} y_1' &= -18a_1^2a_2^5x_2^{12} + 5a_1^3a_2^4x_2^{11} + 7a_2^6x_2^{12} - 18a_1a_2^5x_2^{11} + 15a_1^2a_2^4x_2^{10} - 4a_1^3a_2^3x_2^9 - \\ &\quad 6a_2^5x_2^{10} + 15a_1a_2^4x_2^9 - 12a_1^2a_2^3x_2^8 + 3a_1^3a_2^2x_2^7 + 5a_2^4x_2^8 - 12a_1a_2^3x_2^7 + 9a_1^2a_2^2x_2^6 - \\ &\quad 2a_1^3a_2x_2^5 - 4a_2^3x_2^6 + 9a_1a_2^2x_2^5 - 6a_1^2a_2x_2^4 + a_1^3x_2^3 + 3a_2^2x_2^4 - 6a_1a_2x_2^3 + 3a_1^2x_2^2 - \\ &\quad 2a_2x_2^2 + 3a_1x_2 + 1 \\ y_2' &= a_1^{12}x_2^{12} + 2a_1^{10}a_2x_2^{12} - a_1^{11}x_2^{11} + a_1^8a_2^2x_2^{12} - 2a_1^9a_2x_2^{11} + a_1^{10}x_2^{10} - a_1^7a_2^2x_2^{11} + \\ &\quad 2a_1^8a_2x_2^{10} - a_1^9x_2^9 + a_1^6a_2^2x_2^{10} - 2a_1^7a_2x_2^9 + a_1^8x_2^8 - a_1^5a_2^2x_2^9 + 2a_1^6a_2x_2^8 - a_1^7x_2^7 + \\ &\quad a_1^4a_2^2x_2^8 - 2a_1^5a_2x_2^7 + a_1^6x_2^6 - a_1^3a_2^2x_2^7 + 2a_1^4a_2x_2^6 - a_1^5x_2^5 + a_1^2a_2^2x_2^6 - 2a_1^3a_2x_2^5 + \\ &\quad a_1^4x_2^4 - a_1a_2^2x_2^5 + 2a_1^2a_2x_2^4 - a_1^3x_2^3 + a_2^2x_2^4 - 2a_1a_2x_2^3 + a_1^2x_2^2 + 2a_2x_2^2 - a_1x_2 + \\ &\quad 1 \\ y_3' &= a_1x_2^2 + x_2 \\ y_4' &= a_2x_2^3 + x_2 \\ y_5' &= \frac{a_2^2}{4}x_2^4 - \frac{a_1^3 + a_1a_2}{4}x_2^3 + \frac{a_1^2 - a_2}{4}x_2^2 - \frac{a_1}{4}x_2 + \frac{1}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

However, the output is too big to be printed. Following the idea in the above examples, we compute Q and g. This is even bigger than h so we print the numerators and denominators of the coefficients just till degree 10 in the x_i's. However in some cases even the terms till degree 10 will be too many to write down and hence we will print just the first terms and "…" .

As a small remark, Q_3 contains also terms in degree 3 in the T_i but the numerator of the coefficients have power greater than 10 and therefore they do not appear in our shortcutting.

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_1 = {} & \frac{3a_1^2x_1^2x_2^8-2a_1x_1^2x_2^7+4a_2x_1^2x_2^8+x_1^2x_2^6}{4a_1a_2^2x_2^5+8a_1a_2x_2^3+4a_1x_2+4a_2^2x_2^4+8a_2x_2^2+4}\cdot T_1T_4-\frac{x_2^6}{4a_2^2x_2^4+8a_2x_2^2+4}\cdot\ T_1^2 \\
& +\frac{-a_1^4x_2^{10}+a_1^3x_2^9-2a_1^2a_2x_2^{10}-a_1^2x_2^8+2a_1a_2x_2^9+a_1x_2^7-a_2^2x_2^{10}-2a_2x_2^8-x_2^6}{4a_1a_2^2x_2^5+8a_1a_2x_2^3+4a_1x_2+4a_2^2x_2^4+8a_2x_2^2+4}\cdot T_1T_2 \\
& +\frac{-5a_1^4x_2^{10}+4a_1^3x_2^9-12a_1^2a_2x_2^{10}-3a_1^2x_2^8+8a_1a_2x_2^9+2a_1x_2^7-6a_2^2x_2^{10}-4a_2x_2^8-x_2^6}{16a_1^2a_2^2x_2^6+32a_1^2a_2x_2^4+16a_1^2x_2^2+32a_1a_2^2x_2^5+64a_1a_2x_2^3+32a_1x_2+16a_2^2x_2^4+32a_2x_2^2+16}\cdot\ T_2^2 \\
& +\frac{a_1^2x_1^2x_2^8+2a_1^2x_2^{10}+2a_1x_1^2x_2^7+4a_1x_2^9+x_1^2x_2^6+2x_2^8}{4a_1a_2^2x_2^5+8a_1a_2x_2^3+4a_1x_2+4a_2^2x_2^4+8a_2x_2^2+4}\cdot T_1T_3 \\
& +\frac{a_1x_1^2x_2^7-2a_1x_2^9+2a_2x_1^2x_2^8-4a_2x_2^{10}+x_1^2x_2^6-2x_2^8}{8a_1^2a_2^2x_2^6+16a_1^2a_2x_2^4+8a_1^2x_2^2+16a_1a_2^2x_2^5+32a_1a_2x_2^3+16a_1x_2+8a_2^2x_2^4+16a_2x_2^2+8}\cdot T_2T_3 \\
& +\frac{-x_1^4x_2^6+4x_1^2x_2^8-4x_2^{10}}{16a_1^2a_2^2x_2^6+32a_1^2a_2x_2^4+16a_1^2x_2^2+32a_1a_2^2x_2^5+64a_1a_2x_2^3+32a_1x_2+16a_2^2x_2^4+32a_2x_2^2+16}\cdot\ T_3^2 \\
& +\frac{6a_1^2x_1^2x_2^8-3a_1x_1^2x_2^7+6a_2x_1^2x_2^8+x_1^2x_2^6}{8a_1^2a_2^2x_2^6+16a_1^2a_2x_2^4+8a_1^2x_2^2+16a_1a_2^2x_2^5+32a_1a_2x_2^3+16a_1x_2+8a_2^2x_2^4+16a_2x_2^2+8}\cdot T_2T_4 \\
& +\frac{-x_1^4x_2^6+2x_1^2x_2^8}{8a_1^2a_2^2x_2^6+16a_1^2a_2x_2^4+8a_1^2x_2^2+16a_1a_2^2x_2^5+32a_1a_2x_2^3+16a_1x_2+8a_2^2x_2^4+16a_2x_2^2+8}\cdot T_3T_4 \\
& +\frac{-x_1^4x_2^6}{16a_1^2a_2^2x_2^6+32a_1^2a_2x_2^4+16a_1^2x_2^2+32a_1a_2^2x_2^5+64a_1a_2x_2^3+32a_1x_2+16a_2^2x_2^4+32a_2x_2^2+16}\cdot\ T_4^2
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_2 = {} & \frac{-x_2^6}{4a_1^2x_2^2+8a_1x_2+4}\cdot T_2^2+\frac{3a_1^2x_1^2x_2^8+3a_1x_1^2x_2^7-2a_2x_1^2x_2^8+x_1^2x_2^6}{2a_1^2x_2^2+4a_1x_2+2}\cdot T_2T_3+\frac{-x_1^4x_2^6}{4a_1^2x_2^2+8a_1x_2+4}\cdot\ T_3^2 \\
& +\frac{a_1^2x_1^2x_2^8-a_1x_1^2x_2^7+2a_2x_1^2x_2^8+x_1^2x_2^6}{2a_1^2x_2^2+4a_1x_2+2}\cdot T_2T_4+\frac{-x_1^4x_2^6+2x_1^2x_2^8}{2a_1^2x_2^2+4a_1x_2+2}\cdot T_3T_4+\frac{-x_1^4x_2^6}{4a_1^2x_2^2+8a_1x_2+4}\cdot\ T_4^2
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_3 = {} & \frac{2a_1^3x_2^9-4a_1^2a_2x_2^{10}-2a_1^2x_2^8+2a_1a_2x_2^9+2a_1x_2^7-2a_2x_2^8-2x_2^6}{4a_1a_2^3x_2^7+12a_1a_2^2x_2^5+12a_1a_2x_2^3+4a_1x_2+4a_2^3x_2^6+12a_2^2x_2^4+12a_2x_2^2+4}\cdot T_1T_2 \\
& +\frac{7a_1^3x_2^9-28a_1^2a_2x_2^{10}-7a_1^2x_2^8+21a_1a_2x_2^9+7a_1x_2^7-21a_2^2x_2^{10}-21a_2x_2^8-7x_2^6}{...+48a_1^2x_2^2+48a_1a_2^3x_2^7+144a_1a_2^2x_2^5+144a_1a_2x_2^3+48a_1x_2+16a_2^3x_2^6+48a_2^2x_2^4+48a_2x_2^2+16}\cdot\ T_2^2 \\
& +\frac{2a_1^2x_1^2x_2^8+2a_1^2x_2^{10}+4a_1x_1^2x_2^7+4a_1x_2^9-2a_2x_1^2x_2^8-2a_2x_2^{10}+2x_1^2x_2^6+2x_2^8}{4a_1a_2^3x_2^7+12a_1a_2^2x_2^5+12a_1a_2x_2^3+4a_1x_2+4a_2^3x_2^6+12a_2^2x_2^4+12a_2x_2^2+4}\cdot T_1T_3 \\
& +\frac{7a_1^2x_1^2x_2^8+4a_1^2x_2^{10}+14a_1x_1^2x_2^7+8a_1x_2^9+7a_2x_1^2x_2^8+4a_2x_2^{10}+7x_1^2x_2^6+4x_2^8}{...+24a_1^2x_2^2+24a_1a_2^3x_2^7+72a_1a_2^2x_2^5+72a_1a_2x_2^3+24a_1x_2+8a_2^3x_2^6+24a_2^2x_2^4+24a_2x_2^2+8}\cdot T_2T_3 \\
& +\frac{-7x_1^4x_2^6-8x_1^2x_2^8-4x_2^{10}}{...+48a_1^2x_2^2+48a_1a_2^3x_2^7+144a_1a_2^2x_2^5+144a_1a_2x_2^3+48a_1x_2+16a_2^3x_2^6+48a_2^2x_2^4+48a_2x_2^2+16}\cdot\ T_3^2 \\
& +\frac{6a_1^2x_1^2x_2^8-4a_1x_1^2x_2^7+6a_2x_1^2x_2^8+2x_1^2x_2^6}{4a_1a_2^3x_2^7+12a_1a_2^2x_2^5+12a_1a_2x_2^3+4a_1x_2+4a_2^3x_2^6+12a_2^2x_2^4+12a_2x_2^2+4}\cdot T_1T_4 \\
& +\frac{21a_1^2x_1^2x_2^8-14a_1x_1^2x_2^7+35a_2x_1^2x_2^8+7x_1^2x_2^6}{...+24a_1^2x_2^2+24a_1a_2^3x_2^7+72a_1a_2^2x_2^5+72a_1a_2x_2^3+24a_1x_2+8a_2^3x_2^6+24a_2^2x_2^4+24a_2x_2^2+8}\cdot T_2T_4 \\
& +\frac{-7x_1^4x_2^6-4x_1^2x_2^8}{...+24a_1^2x_2^2+24a_1a_2^3x_2^7+72a_1a_2^2x_2^5+72a_1a_2x_2^3+24a_1x_2+8a_2^3x_2^6+24a_2^2x_2^4+24a_2x_2^2+8}\cdot T_3T_4
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\frac{-7x_1^4x_2^6}{...+48a_1^2x_2^2+48a_1a_2^3x_2^7+144a_1a_2^2x_2^5+144a_1a_2x_2^3+48a_1x_2+16a_2^3x_2^6+48a_2^2x_2^4+48a_2x_2^2+16}\cdot\ T_4^2\\
&+\frac{a_1^4x_2^{10}-a_1^2a_2x_2^{10}-a_2^2x_2^{10}+a_2x_2^8-x_2^6}{4a_2^3x_2^6+12a_2^2x_2^4+12a_2x_2^2+4}\cdot T_1^2+\frac{-x_2^6}{2a_2^2x_2^4+4a_2x_2^2+2}\cdot T_1T_5\\
&+\frac{-3a_2^2x_2^{10}-6a_2x_2^8-3x_2^6}{4a_1^2a_2^2x_2^6+8a_1^2a_2x_2^4+4a_1^2x_2^2+8a_1a_2^2x_2^5+16a_1a_2x_2^3+8a_1x_2+4a_2^2x_2^4+8a_2x_2^2+4}\cdot T_2T_5\\
&+\frac{9a_1^2x_1^2x_2^8+6a_1^2x_2^{10}+9a_1x_1^2x_2^7+6a_1x_2^9+3x_1^2x_2^6+2x_2^8}{4a_1^2a_2^2x_2^6+8a_1^2a_2x_2^4+4a_1^2x_2^2+8a_1a_2^2x_2^5+16a_1a_2x_2^3+8a_1x_2+4a_2^2x_2^4+8a_2x_2^2+4}\cdot T_3T_5\\
&+\frac{3a_1^2x_1^2x_2^8-3a_1x_1^2x_2^7+12a_2x_1^2x_2^8+3x_1^2x_2^6}{4a_1^2a_2^2x_2^6+8a_1^2a_2x_2^4+4a_1^2x_2^2+8a_1a_2^2x_2^5+16a_1a_2x_2^3+8a_1x_2+4a_2^2x_2^4+8a_2x_2^2+4}\cdot T_4T_5
\end{aligned}
$$

Having Q_i we obtain g_i:

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_1 = Q_1+ &\quad \left(...-6a_1^4x_2^4+30a_1^3a_2^3x_2^9+45a_1^2a_2^4x_2^{10}+6a_1^2a_2^2x_2^6+6a_1^2a_2x_2^4+6a_1a_2^3x_2^7+6a_1a_2^2x_2^5+6a_2^3x_2^6+1\right) &\cdot T_1\\
g_2 = Q_2+ &\quad \left(...-6a_1^4x_2^4+30a_1^3a_2^3x_2^9+45a_1^2a_2^4x_2^{10}+6a_1^2a_2^2x_2^6+6a_1^2a_2x_2^4+6a_1a_2^3x_2^7+6a_1a_2^2x_2^5+6a_2^3x_2^6+1\right) &\cdot T_2\\
+ &\quad \left(...+6a_1^6x_2^4-30a_1^5a_2^3x_2^9-45a_1^4a_2^4x_2^{10}-6a_1^4a_2^2x_2^6-6a_1^4a_2x_2^4-6a_1^3a_2^3x_2^7-6a_1^3a_2^2x_2^5-6a_1^2a_2^3x_2^6-a_1^2\right)\\
g_3 = Q_3+ &\quad \left(...-6a_1^4x_2^4+30a_1^3a_2^3x_2^9+45a_1^2a_2^4x_2^{10}+6a_1^2a_2^2x_2^6+6a_1^2a_2x_2^4+6a_1a_2^3x_2^7+6a_1a_2^2x_2^5+6a_2^3x_2^6+1\right) &\cdot T_3\\
+ &\quad \left(...+24a_1^2a_2^5x_2^{10}+18a_1^2a_2^4x_2^8+a_1^2a_2^2x_2^4+a_1^2a_2x_2^2+12a_1a_2^5x_2^9+a_1a_2^3x_2^5+a_1a_2^2x_2^3+6a_2^6x_2^{10}+a_2^3x_2^4\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

The General Neron Desingularization is a localization of $D[Y,T]/(h,g)$. For this example we will need a function

`invp(poly p, int bound,string param,string variab)`

which computes computes the inverse of `p` till order `bound` in $\mathbb{Q}$(`param`)[`variab`].

The input for this example is the following:

```
ring All = 0,(a1,a2,x1,x2,Y1,Y2,Y3,Y4),dp;
int nra = 2;
int nrx = 2;
int nry = 4;
ideal xid = x1^2-x2^3;
ideal yid = Y3^2-x1^2*Y1*Y2,Y4^2-x2*Y2*Y3;
ideal aid = 0;
poly y1,y2,y3,y4;
y3 = 1+a1*x2;
y4 = 1+a2*x2^2;
string as,xs;
if(nra != 0)
{
  as = string(var(1));
  for( int i=2;i<=nra;i++)
  {
    as = as+","+string(var(i));
  }
}
```

```
if(nrx!=0)
{
  xs = string(var(nra+1));
  for(int i=nra+2;i<=nra+nrx;i++)
  {
    xs = xs+","+string(var(i));
  }
}
y1 = y3^3*invp(y4^2,12,as,xs);
y2 = y4^2*invp(y3,12,as,xs);
y3 = x2*y3;
y4 = x2*y4;
ideal f = y1,y2,y3,y4;
desingularization(All, nra,nrx,nry,xid,yid,aid,f,"injective","debug");
```

Remark 28. Our algorithm works mainly for local domains of dimension one. If A' is not a domain but a Cohen-Macaulay ring of dimension one then we can build an algorithm in the idea of the proof of Theorem 20. In this case it is necessary to change B by an Elkik's trick [4] (see [8, Lemma 3.4], [13, Proposition 4.6], [11, Corollary 5.10]). The algorithm and as well Theorem 20 might be also build when A' is not Cohen-Macaulay substituting in the proofs d by a certain power d^r such that $(0 :_A d^r) = (0 :_A d^{r+1})$. Such algorithm could be too complicated to work really.

On the other hand, if we restrict our present algorithm to the case when A' is the completion of A then we might get a faster algorithm using the idea of the proof of Theorem 20. This algorithm could be useful in the arc frame.

References

[1] M. Andre, *Cinq exposes sur la desingularisation*, Handwritten manuscript Ecole Polytechnique Federale de Lausanne, (1991).

[2] M. Artin, *Algebraic approximation of structures over complete local rings*, Publ. Math. IHES, **36**, (1969), 23-58.

[3] W. Decker, G.-M. Greuel, G. Pfister, H. Schönemann: Singular 3-1-6 — A computer algebra system for polynomial computations.http://www.singular.uni-kl.de (2012).

[4] R. Elkik, *Solutions d'equations a coefficients dans un anneaux henselien*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Normale Sup., **6** (1973), 553-604.

[5] M. Greenberg, *Rational points in henselian discrete valuation rings*, Publ. Math. IHES, **31**, (1966), 59-64.

[6] A. Grothedieck, J. Dieudonne, *Elements de geometrie algebrique, IV, Part 1*, Publ. Math. IHES, 1966.

[7] A. Neron, *Modeles minimaux des varietes abeliennes sur les corps locaux et globaux*, Publ. Math. IHES, **21**, 1964.

[8] D. Popescu, *General Neron Desingularization*, Nagoya Math. J., **100** (1985), 97-126.

[9] D. Popescu, *General Neron Desingularization and approximation*, Nagoya Math. J., **104**, (1986), 85-115.

[10] D. Popescu, *Letter to the Editor. General Neron Desingularization and approximation*, Nagoya Math. J., **118** (1990), 45-53.

[11] D. Popescu, *Artin Approximation*, in "Handbook of Algebra", vol. 2, Ed. M. Hazewinkel, Elsevier, 2000, 321-355.
[12] M. Spivakovski, *A new proof of D. Popescu's theorem on smoothing of ring homomorphisms*, J. Amer. Math. Soc., **294** (1999), 381-444.
[13] R. Swan, *Neron-Popescu desingularization*, in "Algebra and Geometry", Ed. M. Kang, International Press, Cambridge, (1998), 135-192.

ADRIAN POPESCU, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF KAISERSLAUTERN, ERWIN-SCHRÖDINGER-STR., 67663 KAISERSLAUTERN, GERMANY
E-mail address: `popescu@mathematik.uni-kl.de`

DORIN POPESCU, SIMION STOILOW INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS , RESEARCH UNIT 5, UNIVERSITY OF BUCHAREST, P.O.BOX 1-764, BUCHAREST 014700, ROMANIA
E-mail address: `dorin.popescu@imar.ro`